

KONRAD RAYNES & VICTOR, LLP

315 S. Beverly Drive, Suite 210
Beverly Hills, California 90212

Telephone: (310) 556-7983
Facsimile: (310) 556-7984

FAX COVER SHEET**PLEASE DELIVER THIS FACSIMILE
TO EXAMINER MATTHEW D. ANDERSON**

TO: Commissioner for Patents
Attn: Examiner Matthew D. Anderson
Group Art Unit 2186
Patent Examining Corps
Facsimile Center
Washington, D.C. 20231

FROM: David W. Victor

OUR REF: 0018.0074
TELEPHONE: 310-556-7983

Total pages, including cover letter: 2

PTO FAX NUMBER 1-703-746-5703

If you do NOT receive all of the pages, please telephone us at 310/556-7983, or fax us at 310/556-7984.

Title of Document Transmitted: APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW REQUEST
FORM

Applicant: D.A. Burton et al.
Serial No.: 09/630,228
Filed: August 1, 2000
Group Art Unit: 2186
Docket No.: TUC920000013US1

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on
April 16, 2004

By: 
Name: Patricia McLaughlin

PTOL-413A
0018.0074**Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form**Application No.: 09/630,228First Named Applicant: D.A. Burton et al.Examiner: Matthew D. AndersonArt Unit: 2186Status of Application: Final Office Action**Tentative Participants:**(1) David W. Victor(2) Matthew D. Anderson

(3) _____

(4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: _____ Proposed Time: _____

Type of Interview Requested:(1) ☒ Telephonic(2) ☐ Personal(3) ☐ Video ConferenceExhibit to be shown or demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, provide a brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Rejection</u>	<u>1, 2, 16, 17, 31, 32, 43</u>	<u>Cheung</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) <u>Rejection</u>	<u>6, 21, 36</u>	<u>Cheung & Wu</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached**Brief Description of Argument to be Presented:**

Applicants want to discuss why claims 1, 2, 16, 17, 31, 32, and 43 are patentable over the cited cols. 1 and 5 of Cheong, and why the lower level of the cache does not satisfy the requirement of the address of the block in the storage device, which in the claims is separate from the cache. Also, Applicants want to discuss why the dirty bits of Cheong's cache memory address does not teach the claim requirement of an error code for customer data in a block of the storage device, which is different than the cache. Applicants also want to discuss what the Examiner had in mind with respect to the meaning of "customer data".

Applicants want to discuss why claims 6, 21, and 36 are patentable over the cited col. 7 of Cheong. → Allowed

An Interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01)

This Application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.123(b)) as soon as possible.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature) _____

(Examiner/SPE Signature) _____

Cache is higher level
than system mem.